



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/459,644	12/13/1999	TAKASHI TSUNODA	862.3166	1438
5514	5514 7590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			CHUNG, DANIEL J	
	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	15
		DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/459,644	TSUNODA, TAKASHI
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel J Chung	2672
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	_	
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	N FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: the new limitations added to claims were	not previously claimed.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>31,33-35,37,38,40-42,44 and 45</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		
10. Other:		JAMABU BING
		PRIMARY EXAMINER